

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CORDIS CORPORATION,)
Plaintiff,)
v.)
BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION)
and SCIMED LIFE SYSTEMS, INC.)
Defendant.)

BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION)
and SCIMED LIFE SYSTEMS, INC.,)
Plaintiffs,)
v.)
ETHICON, INC., CORDIS)
CORPORATION and JOHNSON &)
JOHNSON INTERVENTIONAL)
SYSTEMS COMPANY,)
Defendants.)
Civ. No. 97-550-SLR
(Consolidated)
Civ. No. 98-019-SLR

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

We, the jury, unanimously find as follows:

Infringement

Has Cordis shown by a preponderance of the evidence that Boston Scientific's NIR stent infringes the limitation of claim 23 of the '762 patent requiring that the wall of a tubular member have a substantially uniform thickness? (A "YES" answer to this question is a finding for Cordis. A "NO" answer is a finding for Boston Scientific.)

YES ✓ NO _____

Invalidity

Do you find that Boston Scientific has shown by clear and convincing evidence that claim 23 of the '762 patent is invalid due to obviousness? (A "YES" answer is a finding for Boston Scientific. A "NO" answer is a finding for Cordis.)

YES _____ NO ✓

You must sign this Verdict Form.

Dated: March 24 , 2005

Raymond M. Martin
FOREPERSON

Angela Cappone

Joan E. Sloan

Mary Maggetti

Dirk St. Hilaire

P. O'Neill

Stefan Wooters

Frank S. Miller